Members Present:
Brad Maxwell, Chairman
Nick Petrillo
Larry Trucano
David Michael
Phillip Chapman
Ray Wesley
Mick Madison
Robert Pollard

Members Absent:
None.

Others Present:
Matt Brandmeyer
Andi Yancey
Chris Doucleff
Eve Drueke
Mark & Lori Kruckeberg
Ralph Handshy
Jerry Handshy
Mike Rathgeb
Jean Sheets
Josh & Dana Kirbach
Jared & Lindsey Kraus
David & Deane Thomas
Rodney (Jerry) & Janise Couick
Tom Wille
Mayor Richard Schiefer
Domingo Del Rio & Family
Everett Hubbard

Call of Meeting to Order and Roll Call:
Chairman Brad Maxwell called the regular meeting of the Planning and Development Committee to order at 5:00 p.m. and conducted roll call.

Approval of Minutes:
Mr. Wesley made a motion to approve the minutes from September 7, 2017. Seconded by Mr. Pollard. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

Zoning Petitions and Subdivision Overview:
Mrs. Yancey discussed Z17-0040, petition of Kevin Kahrig, applicant, requesting to rezone a 2.5-acre tract of land from "R-1" Single-Family Residential District to "B-2" General Business District in order to operate an office building facility on site. Mrs. Yancey stated that the request has been changed since the last meeting as Mr. Kahrig had previously requested to rezone the property to “B-4” with storage warehousing facilities. Mrs. Yancey stated that she had not heard from any adjoining property owners in opposition to the amended request. Mrs. Yancey stated that the ZBA recommended approval unanimously to the request.

Mr. Madison stated that the applicant owns the parcel to the north and he is going to building a house there and Mrs. Yancey stated that Mr. Kahrig has received a building permit and broke ground on the property. Mr. Maxwell asked if Mr. Madison could wait to discuss the agenda item until Mrs. Yancey has completed her presentation.

Mrs. Yancey introduced Z17-0050, petition of Spencer Homes LLC, applicant, requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone 52.62 acres from "A" Agriculture District to "R-1" Single-Family Residential District in order to develop a 32-lot subdivision. Mrs. Yancey stated there were several adjoining and nearby property owners expressing opposition to the request citing concerns with stormwater drainage and private sewage. Mrs. Yancey stated that the ZBA made a motion to deny the request. Mr. Trucano asked if it was denied due to opposition. Mrs. Yancey stated that was the case, as well as concerns that the proposal did not fit with the characteristics of the surrounding area. Mr. Brandmeyer stated
that there was confusion on what the request was because there was some discussion on site that mentioned variances for the request. Mr. Brandmeyer stated for the record that the request was to rezone from Agriculture to R-1.

Mrs. Yancey introduced Z17-0045, petition of Jeremy and Angela Anderson, owners of record, requesting a special use permit to continue the placement of a double-wide manufactured home on site. Mrs. Yancey said they are new occupants and owners and were not eligible for administrative review. Mrs. Yancey stated that there were not any attendees on site and that the ZBA recommended approval.

Mrs. Yancey discussed Z17-0042, petition of Domingo Del Rio Perez, owner of record, requesting variances in order to have a horse on a tract of land that is 0.64 acres instead of the required one acre and an accessory building used to house the horse that is 5 feet from the side property line instead of the required 50 feet. Mrs. Yancey stated the structure is existing and it is 5 feet off the property line. In order to house the horse, the structure must be 50 feet off the property line as per the ordinance, which is not achievable due to the property width. Mrs. Yancey stated that there was one attendee on site, the Nameoki Township Supervision, Randy Presswood. Mr. Presswood spoke in opposition to the request stating that, due to clean up efforts in the area and the property being less than an acre, he is opposed. Mrs. Yancey stated that the ZBA voted to deny the request due to these objections.

Mr. Brandmeyer stated for the record that the ZBA had discussed the construction of a new building, when in reality the structure is currently there being used to house the horse. Mr. Chapman asked Mr. Brandmeyer to clarify if the ZBA voted on the request without knowing that the structure was already there. Mr. Brandmeyer indicated that was the case. Mr. Chapman stated that they did not vote on the correct request. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that is why there is a review process in place.

Mr. Maxwell asked Mrs. Yancey how long the horse has been on the property and Mrs. Yancey stated that at least since April 2017 and that Mr. Perez was present and could speak to how long the horse has been kept at the property. Mr. Maxwell stated he was trying to make the point that the horse had been there a long time and there has only been one compliant. Mr. Maxwell stated that the committee does have the ability to overturn the recommendation of the petition.

Mrs. Yancey introduced Z17-0049, petition of Homer and Linda Wyatt, owners of record, requesting a special use permit to continue placement of a single-wide manufactured home on site. Mrs. Yancey stated that the applicant came into the office for a demolition permit on a different building, at which point it was discovered that there was not an active special use permit for the mobile home. Mrs. Yancey stated that there were not any attendees on site and the ZBA recommended approval.

Mrs. Yancey discussed Z17-0048, petition of Nicholas Frey, requesting a variance to have a 6-foot fence and gate in the front yard setback area. Mrs. Yancey said that there were several attendees on site speaking in opposition to the request which was largely due to several ongoing use and property maintenance violations. Mrs. Yancey stated that Mr. Frey has been in adjudication for several months with the county for high weeds, using the property for commercial purposes, and the illegal fence. Mr. Frey requested the variance in order to keep the fence on the property. Mrs. Yancey stated that the ZBA unanimously recommended denial of the request. Mr. Madison asked Mrs. Yancey if she mentioned a race track and Mrs. Yancey stated that there was a racetrack on the property as well. Mr. Madison asked Mrs. Yancey to show photos of the racetrack and its proximity to the adjoining property owner’s home.

Lastly, Mrs. Yancey introduced the subdivision report for Deer Run Estates III. Mrs. Yancey stated that it is a five lot final plat, that there is an existing home on lot one. Mrs. Yancey stated that the applicant is creating four additional tracts and that all subdivision and zoning requirements are satisfied.

**Citizens Wishing to Address the Committee:**

Everett Hubbard spoke in regard to Z17-0048. Mr. Hubbard explained that he spoke with Mr. Frey when he purchased the property and Mr. Frey stated that with the close proximity to two major highways the parcel was perfect for his trucking company. Mr. Hubbard said that since Mr. Frey has owned the parcel he has been affected by dust from car and go-cart racing, the sound of high power rifles going off at 2 am in the morning, shaking the houses of surrounding property owners, commercial trucks, and oil storage tanks. Mr. Hubbard stated that Mr. Frey told him he was going to cut down more trees in order to continue to erect the illegal fence. Mr. Hubbard stated that he was told someone was interested in purchasing a property adjoining Mr. Frey’s, but stated that due to the condition of the “compound” they would not buy it. Mr. Hubbard
asked that every property in the neighborhood receive a decrease in property taxes due to the degradation of their property values caused by the condition of Mr. Frey’s property. Mr. Hubbard submitted pictures of how the trees are being taken down.

Mark Kruckeberg spoke in opposition to Z17-0050. Mr. Kruckeberg stated that he is opposed to the rezoning of the property on Handshy Lane and stated that there over 130 petition signatures against the request from himself and adjoining property owners.

Mr. Wesley asked why they are opposed to the petition. Mr. Kruckeberg stated that the proposed density is his issue with the rezoning. Mr. Chapman asked if someone were to develop the property within the normal expectations of the property, such as 2 acre lots, whether there would be no objection. Mr. Kruckeberg stated that they would not object to that lot size. Chairman Maxwell stated that was the case.

Dave Thomas spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mr. Thomas stated that he has two objections, one of which is due to a major creek through the property that fills up to the banks during heavy rainfalls. Mr. Thomas stated that at least seven of the proposed properties will be impacted by the major creek. Mr. Thomas stated that he is concerned about 32 new roof tops and improvements draining into the creek and causing flooding. Mr. Thomas’ second concern is that the site plan does not indicated that there is a pipeline running through the property, approximately 14 of the lots, and he is surprised that it is not shown on the engineered drawing.

Mr. Trucano asked where Mr. Thomas’ property is located. Mr. Thomas described the location. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the creek drains into Cahokia Creek. Mr. Thomas stated that the creek keeps getting bigger and currently floods as is. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that houses cannot be developed on top of the pipeline and he does not think that is what is being proposed. Mrs. Brandmeyer said that they can excavate in the easement but cannot place a structure within it. Mrs. Yancey stated that the submitted plan is a concept drawing and the final plat has been submitted and it has substantial changes.

Tom Mille spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mr. Mille said that his biggest concern is the septic systems. Mr. Mille explained that the county prefers normal lateral systems, but, with the soil conditions, aerations systems will end up being used. Mr. Mille stated that rules for the county state that there has to be 250’ in separation between system discharge points and the proposal does not add up to meet the code. Mr. Mille stated if you put 32 systems on the property and they are not maintained properly, then there will be issues. Mr. Mille stated that the county’s review process is to review when the systems are placed, then 6 months afterwards, and that is it. Then it becomes the neighbors issue to make sure it is maintained.

Josh Kirbach spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mr. Kirbach stated that development can and will happen there but septic is a major concern. Mr. Kirbach said that Mr. Kruckeberg provided soil samples for the entire area at the zoning hearing and requested that the committee look at percolation test, because if 32 lots are placed on the property it would not be able to happen. Mr. Kirbach stated he is not opposed to the sale but he would like the density to be reconsidered.

Jerry Couick spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mr. Couick stated that his concerns were about the stormwater runoff because there is already a lot of runoff from the gravel and there would be more with the amount of houses on the property. Mr. Couick stated that the housing development will create runoff that will run through his property and he shares the concerns of runoff with Mr. Wille. Mr. Couick stated that they invested their money into the property so they could be close to family and medical facilities and so that they could live in the country. Mr. Couick stated that he understands that people who want to live off of Handshy Lane want to live in the country, too, but there is a zoning regulation in place to preserve this sort of aesthetic feature. Mr. Couick stated that approval of the request will set a precedent of approving zoning changes to R-1 which will lead to the area no longer be agriculturally zoned.

Mike Rathgeb spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mr. Rathgeb stated that he is the owner of Spencer Homes, LLC and is the applicant for this petition. Mr. Rathgeb stated that he lives a mile southwest of the subject property and is familiar with their concerns, explaining that he has drainage issues of his own. Mr. Rathgeb said that the application conforms to the R-1 District of Conditions of Use and is within those regulations. Mr. Rathgeb said that the potential density for R-1
development of the 50 acre tract would be 48 homes. Mr. Rathgeb stated that the current plat that was submitted proposes 32 home sites and takes the pipeline into consideration. Mr. Rathgeb stated that it is not unusual to have a development that contains a pipeline. Mr. Rathgeb explained that stormwater runoff retention can be improved and continued to discuss examples of how stormwater runoff had been addressed in his other developments. Mr. Rathgeb stated that good design and planning can address the stormwater issues. Mr. Rathgeb stated that, regarding the private sewage issue, they can plat out where the private sewage systems will be located on the plat and indicate where the homeowner or other builder must place the private sewage. Mr. Rathgeb stated that because the county does not enforce the function of the private sewage systems does not mean the neighborhood cannot have enforcement. Mr. Rathgeb stated the he spoke with his distributor and they can mandate in the neighborhood covenants and regulations that the maintenance agreements must be submitted annually and would be enforced by the neighborhood association. Mr. Rathgeb stated that currently the property provides the county with $500 of taxes, and, once developed, it would provide the county and schools with more of a tax base which is good for economic development in the county. Mr. Wesley asked if Mr. Rathgeb owns the property currently and Mr. Rathgeb stated that he is under contract to purchase the property if it can be rezoned.

Jared Kraus spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mr. Kraus stated that his concern is the density of the proposal and stated that he purchased a property in the area because of the density requirement of at least 2 acres per lot.

Richard Schiefer spoke in regard to Z17-0048. Mr. Schiefer stated that he is the mayor of the Village of St. Jacob and is opposed to the request because there is an ongoing issues with the property. Mr. Schiefer stated that there are ongoing violations with the county and with the Village of St. Jacob, which creates an issue for the village and for the neighbors. Mr. Schiefer said the property needs to be taken back to agriculture and the condition it was prior to Mr. Frey. Mr. Schiefer stated that if the village were to annex the property it would be annexed as single-family and that is what the property needs to be.

Dana Kirbach spoke in regard to Z17-0050. Mrs. Kirbach stated that she is concerned about a neighborhood with 32 houses or more being built and the traffic that comes with that. Mrs. Kirbach said that people currently drive 60 miles per hour on the road at the slowest and she believes the increased traffic would increase the risk of crashes.

Unfinished Business:
Mr. Madison stated that the Mr. Kahrig’s property is in his district and discussed the existing developments by the subject property. Mr. Madison stated he does not see an issue with the proposed development and that it fits in with the area. Chairman Maxwell asked if there has been any opposition since the rezoning request had changed. Mrs. Yancey stated that staff had not heard any opposition regarding the amended request.

Mr. Madison made a motion to approve the Resolution, Zoning Board of Appeals Findings of Fact, and Recommendation for Z17-0040 petition of Kevin Kahrig, applicant, on behalf of Rick Kahrig, owner of record, requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone a 2.5-acre tract of land from "R-1" Single-Family Residential District to "B-2" General Business District in order to operate an office building on site. Seconded by Mr. Michael. Roll call vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

New Business:
Mr. Chapman made a motion to approve Resolution, Zoning Board of Appeals Findings of Fact, and Recommendation for Zoning File Z17-0050, the petition of Spencer Homes LLC, applicant, on behalf of Ralph and Christin Handshy, owners of record, requesting a zoning map amendment to rezone a 52.62 acres from "A" Agriculture District to "R-1" Single-Family Residential District in order to develop a 36-lot subdivision. Seconded by Mr. Petrillo.

Mr. Madison said that there is not very much around the property and across the street has a 2 acre per lot subdivision. Mr. Madison stated that the county is down in construction and could use the tax money from the development. Mr. Wesley stated that he believes the developer has answered the concerns to the people opposed to the request by the engineered designs and does not see a legitimate reason to deny the request.
Mr. Madison asked if Mr. Brandmeyer had seen the plan by the developer. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that he had. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that any managed stormwater is better than unmanaged runoff and that there are studies that need to take place prior to development, which is typically not done at this phase. Mr. Madison asked if Mr. Brandmeyer has experience with the developer and the engineering firm. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that he had and that they do quality work.

Chairman Maxwell discussed how he considers a rezoning petition. Chairman Maxwell stated that, given the opposition to the request, changing the zoning designation of the subject property is not something he would support because property owners bought their properties and designed their lives around the agriculturally zoned lots, explaining he cannot vote to change the zoning.

Mr. Petrillo stated that the property can currently be developed, but it has to meet the existing regulations on the parcel. Mr. Petrillo said that when many citizens speak out, the committee should listen to their complaints and the committee should look into the quality of life.

Mr. Michael stated that he agrees with the development but that he too goes back to the opposition to the request. Mr. Michael stated the nearby owner had purchased their property knowing that it was agriculture and assuming that it would stay the same. Mr. Michael stated that if the request were approved, they would be changing the atmosphere of the neighborhood.

Roll-call vote. Ayes to the motion: Mr. Trucano, Mr. Chapman, Mr. Michael, Mr. Pollard, and Chairman Maxwell. Nays to the motion: Mr. Wesley and Mr. Madison. Motion approved.

Mr. Michael made a motion to approve the Resolution, Zoning Board of Appeals Findings of Fact, and Recommendation for Zoning File Z17-0045, petition of Jeremy and Angela Anderson, owners of record, requesting a special use permit in order to continue the placement of a double-wide manufactured home on site for the occupancy of the new owners, Jeremy Anderson and family, for a period not to exceed five years.

Mr. Petrillo asked if they were new owners. Mrs. Yancey stated that was the case. Mr. Petrillo asked if this is the first time they are requesting a special use permit. Mrs. Yancey stated it is the first time that they have requested a special use permit, but explained that there was an SUP issued for the previous owner in 2012. Mrs. Yancey discussed the renewal process with Mr. Petrillo. Mr. Petrillo asked if they purchased the property knowing that they would have to have a special use permit. Mrs. Yancey stated it was her impression that they did not have prior knowledge or the SUP requirement. Mr. Petrillo stated that he is concerned that when people purchase mobile homes that they aren’t aware of the ramifications of owning that type of property. Mr. Petrillo asked how long the mobile home has been there. Mrs. Yancey said that the 2012 permit was the first request for the mobile home on record.

Mr. Chapman asked if there was any complaints on the request. Mrs. Yancey stated that there was not and that there are other mobile homes within the neighborhood, explaining that it is not out of character. Mr. Chapman stated he agrees with Mr. Petrillo that home buyers should know up front that they have to have an SUP. A discussion ensued about obtaining an SUP when purchasing a mobile home.

Seconded by Mr. Madison. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

Mr. Chapman asked how long the horse had been on the property for petition of Z17-0042. Mrs. Yancey stated that that the county became aware of the horse in April of this year, but could not indicate how long the horse had been kept at the property. Mrs. Yancey asked Mr. Perez, applicant for the petition, how long the horse has been on the property. Mr. Perez’s son indicated it has been there for two years and that several properties along their street have horses.

Mr. Chapman asked if there were any complaints from the neighbors. Mrs. Yancey stated that there was a sweep of the neighborhood and it was discovered then. Mr. Chapman stated that he thinks someone may have been singled out
because everyone along that street has similar sized lots and they have horses on them. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that it was a sweep of the neighborhood and no one was singled out. Mr. Doucleff stated that the surrounding neighbors did not have horses on their lot because he inspected them. Mr. Michael asked if there were some properties with horses and Mr. Doucleff stated that there are some with horses but they are on an acre of land. Mr. Doucleff stated that there are violations on the other parcels that that have a horse with less than one acre. Mr. Wesley asked if the violation was having a horse on a lot less than an acre or that the accessory structure is 5 feet from the property line. Mr. Doucleff stated that the violation is having the horse on the lot less than an acre. Mr. Wesley asked if the variance request addresses both issues. Mrs. Yancey stated that was the case. Mr. Chapman asked Mr. Doucleff if the applicant was at the meeting because he is complying with what he was asked to do and the others that received the same violation are not complying. Mr. Doucleff stated that was the case. Mr. Madison asked if the conditions for the horse are good. Mr. Doucleff stated that the horse was being kept in good condition.

Mr. Madison made a motion to deny the Resolution, Zoning Board of Appeals Findings of Fact, and Recommendation for Zoning File Z17-0042, in order to approve the petition of Domingo Del Rio Perez, owner of record, requesting variances in order to have a horse on a tract of land that is 0.64 acres instead of the required one acre and an accessory building used to house the horse that is 5 feet from the side property line instead of the required 50 feet. Seconded by Mr. Chapman. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

Mr. Madison made a motion to approve the Resolution, Zoning Board of Appeals Findings of Fact, and Recommendation for Zoning File Z17-0049, petition of Homer and Linda Wyatt, owners of record, requesting a special use permit in order to continue placement of a single-wide manufactured home on site for the occupancy of Homer and Linda Wyatt for a period not to exceed five years. Seconded by Mr. Pollard. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

Mr. Michael made a motion to approve the Resolution, Zoning Board of Appeals Findings of Fact, and Recommendation for Zoning File Z17-0048, petition of Nicholas Frey, owner of record, requesting a variance in order to have a 6-foot fence and gate in the front yard setback area instead of the allowable height of four (4) feet. Seconded by Mr. Wesley.

Mr. Madison stated that Mr. Frey is not being a good neighbor to surrounding property owners. Mr. Madison stated that the petition is for the fence. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the height of the fence is in violation because it is in the front yard area setback. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that he could have a fence in the front yard, but it has to be four feet. Mr. Madison asked what they neighbors’ complaints were, stating that he had heard about the noise, the racetrack, and motorcycles but he has not heard anything about the fence. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the property looks like a compound and that according to the Village of St. Jacob, the fence may actually be in the village right-of-way. Mr. Chapman stated that Mr. Frey has multiple offenses and the county should uphold the rules.

Voice vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

Mr. Wesley made a motion to approve Deer Run Estates III Subdivision. Seconded by Mr. Madison. Roll-call vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

Mr. Madison made a motion to approve the Purchase Order Report. Seconded by Mr. Pollard. Roll-call vote. All ayes. Motion approved.

**Administrator’s Report:**

Ms. Drueke stated she is the Resource Education Coordinator, explaining that the program title was changed from “Green Schools” over the spring and summer. Ms. Drueke said she is making sure the program is relevant to the educators it serves. Ms. Drueke stated she created an advisory group of administrators and educators this summer, explaining that the group had indicated they would like to have curriculum to teach that is relevant to the manner they are now teaching.

Ms. Drueke introduced the first-ever Program Guide for Educators, a handbook for educators with information about the program, which provides educators a comprehensive guide. Ms. Drueke discussed the new aspects of the program, which are included in the handbook. Ms. Drueke stated that there are 12 signature lesson plans for K-6th grade and that it is
exclusive to Madison County. Ms. Drueke stated that they are hands-on activities that fit within educational standards. Mrs. Drueke said that the goal is to introduce lessons to higher grades as well. Ms. Drueke stated that the activities will be ready to roll out at the beginning of 2018. Ms. Drueke stated she has made changes to the program by altering the resource fair and the end of the year ceremony, which freed up the budget for other endeavors. Ms. Drueke continued to discuss the changes made to those events. Ms. Drueke said that the program will identify the schools that do not participate in the program and market to them in order to provide awareness of the services that the program offers. Ms. Drueke stated that the Madison County Outdoors kit is still in the works and will have an early spring release date, explaining that the kit is for families to get outside and enjoy the nature of Madison County.

Mr. Chapman stated that Ms. Drueke should be recognized for her great work as she accommodated the teachers’ request for curriculum and came up with something that was creative, providing them with resources for a variety of educational levels. Chairman Maxwell asked how these resources are going to be marketed to all Madison County schools, how much it costs, and how it is paid for. Ms. Drueke said that schools that currently participate receive a digital copy at no cost to us and those who do not participate will receive a hard copy. Ms. Drueke explained how the friend to friend campaign she is undertaking will help with reaching those who do not participate.

Mr. Brandmeyer said that the DeClue lawsuit has been settled, and that she had agreed to plant 10 additional trees that were previously discussed by the committee and the neighbors have been involved and are okay with it. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the trees will be white pine or some other evergreen type tree that will grow to a certain height and width.

Mr. Brandmeyer stated that C.A.R.D has asked for Madison County to take over their part of the Arlington Wetland project and that they are looking into transferring the whole property to Pontoon Beach, explaining it is something they could identify as a park. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the county may continue to provide maintenance to the park for a term in exchange for assuming ownership of the park.

Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the committee’s packets are on the planning and development website and that the department is looking to move towards paperless packets and an overall reduction in paper usage. Chairman Maxwell stated that when a packet is delivered it is someone from the department getting in the county vehicle to deliver the packet. Chairman Maxwell asked the committee for their thoughts on the change.

Mr. Brandmeyer stated that they want to have a back-up for Rodney Caffey, the hearing officer who handles adjudication, because if he were to miss a day, it would push back the all violations for at least a week. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that it would be a resolution that would go through County Board and he would like to discuss having the hearing officer conduct the zoning hearings again. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that there would be a higher level of merit to having an attorney make the zoning hearing decisions.

Mr. Wesley asked Matt to provide the committee with the cost savings of not having the Zoning Board of Appeals. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that it would save money. Mr. Wesley said he does not doubt that, but he would still like to see a cost savings explaining that cutting budgets and saving money is a hot topic. Mr. Brandmeyer stated the cost of the ZBA, which includes compensation to the members, mileage, and use of county vehicles, is approximately $15,000 a year but they would have to pay the hearing officer, which would run around $10,000. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that he would lay out the cost savings.

Mr. Brandmeyer stated that there is a 25% increase in permits from last year and single-family dwellings are up 25%. Mr. Brandmeyer stated that the department has been busier and is issuing more permits this year.

Adjournment:
Mr. Wesley made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Mr. Petrillo. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion approved. Meeting adjourned.